arXiv:1409.2988v1 [math.CA] 10 Sep 2014

IMPROVEMENT OF THE THEOREM OF HARDY-LITTLEWOOD ON DENSITY OF ZEROS OF THE FUNCTION $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)$

JAN MOSER

Abstract. In this paper we improve classical Hardy-Littlewood exponent 1/2 by about 16.6% 62 years after the original result. This result is the first step to prove the Selberg's hypothesis (1942). In order to reach our purpose we use discrete method. This paper is the English version of our paper [4].

1. Introduction

Let us remind that Hardy and Littlewood have proved (see [1], p. 283) the following classical estimate

$$N_0(T+T^{1/2+\epsilon}-N_0(T)>A(\epsilon)T^{1/2+\epsilon},\quad T\geq T_0(\epsilon) \tag{1.1}$$

for every fixed small positive ϵ, where $N_0(T)$ denotes the number of zeros of the function

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right),\quad t\in(0,T],$$

and $A(\epsilon)$ is a constant depending on the choice of ϵ.

In this paper we shall prove, by a discrete method, a theorem on number of good segments (definition of these is placed below). Next, as a Corollary, we obtain the following estimate

$$N_0(T+T^{5/12}\psi\ln^3 T)-N_0(T)>A(\psi)T^{5/12}\psi\ln^3 T, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\psi=\psi(T)$ means an arbitrarily slowly increasing function unbounded from above, for example

$$\psi=\ln\ln\ldots\ln T,$$

and $A(\psi)$ is a constant depending upon the choice of ψ.

Next, let us remind that A. Selberg (see [5], p. 46, Theorem A) has obtained the fundamental result by means of the estimate

$$N_0(T+T^{1/2+\epsilon})-N_0(T)>A(\epsilon)T^{1/2+\epsilon}\ln T$$

and he has raised the following hypothesis

$$\frac{1}{2}\longrightarrow a:\ a<\frac{1}{2}. \tag{1.3}$$

Remark. We notice explicitly that

(a) our improvement (1.2)

$$\frac{1}{2}\longrightarrow\frac{5}{12}$$

of the Hardy-Littlewood exponent in (1.1) represents the part 16.6%,

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta-function.

(b) estimate (1.2) is the first step on a way to prove the Selberg's hypothesis (1.3).

2. THEOREM

Let (see [7], pp. 79, 329)

$$Z(t) = e^{i\vartheta(t)}\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\vartheta(t) = -\frac{t}{2}\ln\pi + \operatorname{Im}\ln\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\frac{t}{2}\right) = \vartheta_1(t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\varphi_1(t) = \frac{t}{2}\ln\frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8}, \tag{2.3}$$

and $\{\bar{t}_\nu\}$ denote the sequence defined by the following formula (see [3], (2))

$$\vartheta_1(\bar{t}_\nu) = \frac{\pi}{2}\nu,\ \nu = 1,2,\dots \tag{2.4}$$

Next, let

$$\omega = \frac{\pi}{\ln\frac{T}{2\pi}},\ U = T^{5/12}\psi\ln^3 T,\ M_1 = M_1(\delta,T) = [\delta\ln T],\ \delta > 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Definition. We shall call the segment

$$[\bar{t}_\nu + k(\nu)\omega, \bar{t}_\nu + (k(\nu)+1)\omega], \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\bar{t}_\nu \in [T, T+U],\ 0 \le k(\nu) \le M_1, \tag{2.7}$$

and $k(\nu) \in \mathbb{N}_0$, as *the good segment* (comp. [6], [2]) if

$$Z(\bar{t}_\nu + k(\nu)\omega)\cdot Z(\bar{t}_\nu + (k(\nu)+1)\omega) < 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $G(T,U,\delta)$ denote the number of non-intersecting good segments within the segment $[T, T+U]$. The following theorem holds true.

Theorem. There are

$$\delta_0 > 0,\ A(\psi,\delta_0) > 0,\ T_0(\psi,\delta_0) > 0$$

such that

$$G(T,U,\delta_0) > A(\psi,\delta_0)U,\ T \ge T_0(\psi,\delta_0). \tag{2.9}$$

Since the good segment contains a zero point of the odd order of the function

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)$$

(see (2.1), (2.8)) then the estimate (1.2) follows, where, of course, $A(\psi) = A(\psi,\delta_0)$.

3. Main lemmas and proof of Theorem

3.1. Let (see [3], (3))

$$J=\sum_{k=0}^M\sum_{l=0}^M\sum_{T\le\bar{t}_\nu\le T+U}Z(\bar{t}_\nu+k\omega)Z(\bar{t}_\nu+l\omega). \tag{3.1}$$

We have (see Theorem from [3]) the following

Lemma α.

$$J=AMU\ln^2 T+o(MU\ln^2 T), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$U=T^{5/12}\psi\ln^3 T,\ \ln T<M<\sqrt[3]{\psi}\ln T, \tag{3.3}$$

and $A>0$ is an absolute constant.

Next, we put

$$N=\sum_{T\le\bar{t}_\nu\le T+U}|K|^2, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$K=\sum_{k=0}^M\left\{e^{-i\vartheta(\bar{t}_\nu+k\omega)}Z(\bar{t}_\nu+k\omega)-1\right\}. \tag{3.5}$$

The following lemma holds true

Lemma β.

$$N=\mathcal{O}(MU\ln^2 T). \tag{3.6}$$

3.2. Now we use our main lemmas to complete the proof of the Theorem. Let

$$J(\bar{t}_\nu)=\sum_{k=0}^M Z(\bar{t}_\nu+k\omega), \tag{3.7}$$

$$L(\bar{t}_\nu)=\sum_{k=0}^M|Z(\bar{t}_\nu+k\omega)|, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$R=R(T,U)$$

denote the number of $\bar{t}_\nu^*$ with the property

$$\bar{t}_\nu^*\in[T,T+U]\ \Rightarrow\ |J(\bar{t}_\nu^*)|=L(\bar{t}_\nu^*). \tag{3.9}$$

It is clear that the members of the sequence

$$\{Z(\bar{t}_\nu^*+k\omega)\}_{k=0}^M \tag{3.10}$$

preserve their signs. Thus

$$\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu^*}|J|=\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu^*}L. \tag{3.11}$$

Next, we have (see (3.2), (3.5))

$$\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu^*} |J| \le \sqrt{R}\left(\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu^*} J^2\right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{RJ} < A\sqrt{RMU\ln^2 T}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L = \sum_{k=0}^{M} |Z(\bar{t}_\nu + k\omega)| \ge \left|\sum_{k=0}^{M} e^{-i\vartheta(\bar{t}_\nu + k\omega)} Z(\bar{t}_\nu + k\omega)\right| = \\ = |K + M + 1| \ge M + 1 - |K|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Now we have (see (3.6))

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu^*} L \ge (M+1)R - \sum_{\bar{t}_\nu^*} |K| \ge \\ &\ge (M+1)R - \sqrt{R}\sqrt{\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu^*} |K|^2} \ge (M+1)R - \sqrt{RN} > \\ &> (M+1)R - A\sqrt{RMU\ln^2 T}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and (see (3.11),(3.12),(3.14))

$$(M+1)R < A\sqrt{RMU\ln^2 T}. \tag{3.15}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$R < A\frac{U\ln^2 T}{M}. \tag{3.16}$$

Now, we divide the number (comp. [3], (8))

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} U \ln\frac{T}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{U^2}{T}\right) \tag{3.17}$$

of values

$$\bar{t}_\nu \in [T, T+U]$$

into

$$\left[\frac{Q_1}{2M}\right]$$

pairs of abutting parts j_1, j_2, each except the last j_2, of length M (comp. [7], p. 226). Let now μ denote the number of parts j_1 consisting entirely of the points $\bar{t}_\nu^*$. Then by (3.16) we have

$$\mu M < A\frac{U\ln^2 T}{M} \;\Rightarrow\; \mu < AU\left(\frac{\ln T}{M}\right)^2. \tag{3.18}$$

If (see (2.5))

$$M = M_1 = [\delta \ln T],$$

then we obtain by (2.5), (3.17) and (3.18)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{Q_1}{2M}\right] - \mu > A_1\frac{U\ln T}{M} - A_2\frac{U^2}{MT} - \mu > \frac{1}{\delta_0}\left(A_3 - \frac{A_4}{\delta_0}\right)U - A_5 > \\ &> A(\psi, \delta_0)U \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

for sufficiently big δ, ($=\delta_0$ say). This inequality gives the estimate from below for thu number of parts j_1 such that every of these contains at least one point $\bar{t}_\nu$ for which (see (3.7) – (3.9))

$$|J(\bar{t}_\nu)| \neq L(\bar{t}_\nu). \tag{3.20}$$

Of course, for such a point we have that the members of the sequence

$$\{Z(\bar{t}_\nu + k\omega)\}_{k=0}^M$$

change sign, i.e. there is

$$k(\nu) \in [0, M(\delta_0)]$$

such that (2.8) holds true. Since there is at least (see (3.19)) $A(\psi,\delta_0)U$ of parts j_1 of this kind, then (2.9) follows.

Proof of Lemma β is in what follows.

4. Decomposition of the sum N

Let (comp. (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \mathrm{Re}\left\{e^{-i\vartheta(\bar{t}_\nu+k\omega)}Z(\bar{t}_\nu+k\omega)-1\right\}\cdot\left\{e^{i\vartheta(\bar{t}_\nu+l\omega)}Z(\bar{t}_\nu+l\omega)-1\right\}= \\ &= \mathrm{Re}\left\{(e^{-i\vartheta_k}Z_k-1)(e^{i\vartheta_l}Z_l-1)\right\}= \\ &= Z_kZ_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)-Z_k\cos\vartheta_k-Z_l\cos\vartheta_l+1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Putting

$$Z_k = 2\cos\vartheta_k + \bar{Z}_k,\ \bar{t}_k = \bar{t}_\nu + k\omega, \tag{4.2}$$

where (see [3], (117))

$$\bar{Z}_k = 2\sum_{2\leq n<P_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\cos(\vartheta_k - \bar{t}_k\ln n) + \mathcal{O}(T^{-1/4}),\ P_0=\sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}}, \tag{4.3}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \bar{Z}_k\bar{Z}_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)+ \\ &+ 2\bar{Z}_k\cos\vartheta_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)+2\bar{Z}_l\cos\vartheta_k\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)- \\ &- \bar{Z}_k\cos\vartheta_k-\bar{Z}_l\cos\vartheta_l+ \\ &+ 4\cos\vartheta_k\cos\vartheta_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)-2\cos^2\vartheta_k-2\cos^2\vartheta_l+1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Hence, (see (3.4), (3.5), (4.1), (4.4))

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sum_{T\leq\bar{t}_\nu\leq T+U}\sum_{k=0}^M\sum_{l=0}^M K_1 = \sum_{\bar{t}_\nu}\sum_k\sum_l\bar{Z}_k\bar{Z}_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)+ \\ &+ 4\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu}\sum_k\sum_l\bar{Z}_k\cos\vartheta_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)-2\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu}\sum_k\sum_l\bar{Z}_k\cos\vartheta_k+ \\ &+ \sum_{\bar{t}_\nu}\sum_k\sum_l\{4\cos\vartheta_k\cos\vartheta_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)-4\cos^2\vartheta_k+1\}= \\ &= w_1+w_2+w_3+w_4. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

5. Estimate of w_4

Next, we obtain, (see (2.2) – (2.4) and [3], (118), (119)) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&4\cos\vartheta_k\cos\vartheta_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)=\\
&=2\cos^2(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)+2\cos(\vartheta_k+\vartheta_l)\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)=\\
&=1+\cos\{2(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)\}+\cos(2\vartheta_k)+\cos(2\vartheta_l)=\\
&=1+\cos\{2(\vartheta_{1,k}-\vartheta_{1,l})\}+\cos(2\vartheta_{1,k})+\cos(2\vartheta_{1,l})+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)=\\
&=1+\cos\{2(k-l)\omega\ln P_0\}+\cos(\pi\nu+2k\omega\ln P_0)+\\
&+\cos(\pi\nu+2l\omega\ln P_0)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{T\ln T}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)=\\
&=1+(-1)^{k+l}+(-1)^{\nu+k}+(-1)^{\nu+l}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{T\ln T}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-4\cos^2\vartheta_k=-2-2\cos(2\vartheta_k)=\\
&=-2-2\cos(\pi\nu+2k\omega\ln P_0)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{T\ln T}\right)=\\
&=-2-2(-1)^{\nu+k}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{T\ln T}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

since (see (2.5), (4.3))

$$2\omega\ln P_0=\pi.\tag{5.3}$$

Finally, we have (see (3.17), (4.5), (5.1), (5.2))

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_4=\sum_{\bar t_\nu}\sum_k\sum_l(-1)^{k+l}+\mathcal{O}\left(M^2U\ln T\frac{MU}{T\ln T}\right)=\\
&=\mathcal{O}(U\ln T)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M^3U^2}{T}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

6. Estimate of w_1

Further, we have (see (2.2, (2.3), (4.3) and [3], (119), (120))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar Z_k\bar Z_l=2\sum_m\sum_n\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos(\vartheta_k+\vartheta_l-\bar t_k\ln n-\bar t_l\ln m)+\\
&+2\sum_m\sum_n\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l-\bar t_k\ln n+\bar t_l\ln m)+\mathcal{O}(T^{-1/12}\ln T)=\\
&=2\sum_m\sum_n\frac{(-1)^\nu}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos\{\bar t_\nu\ln(mn)-(k+l)\omega\ln P_0+k\omega\ln n+l\omega\ln m\}+\\
&+2\sum_m\sum_n\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos\left\{\bar t_\nu\ln\frac{n}{m}+(l-k)\omega\ln P_0+k\omega\ln n-l\omega\ln m\right\}+\\
&+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{\sqrt{T}\ln T}\right)+\mathcal{O}(T^{-1/12}\ln T).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

Next, (see (6.1), comp. [3], (121))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{Z}_k\bar{Z}_l\cos(\vartheta_k-\vartheta_l)=\bar{Z}_k\bar{Z}_l\cos\{(k-l)\omega\ln P_0\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU\ln T}{T^{2/3}}\right)=\\
&=\sum_m\sum_n\frac{(-1)^\nu}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos\{\bar{t}_\nu\ln(mn)-2l\omega\ln P_0+k\omega\ln n+l\omega\ln m\}+\\
&+\sum_m\sum_n\frac{(-1)^\nu}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos\{\bar{t}_\nu\ln(mn)-2k\omega\ln P_0+k\omega\ln n+l\omega\ln m\}+\\
&+\sum_m\sum_n\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos\left(\bar{t}_\nu\ln\frac{n}{m}+k\omega\ln n-l\omega\ln m\right)+\\
&+\sum_m\sum_n\frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}}\cos\left\{\bar{t}_\nu\ln\frac{n}{m}-2(k-l)\omega\ln P_0+k\omega\ln n-l\omega\ln m\right\}+\\
&+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{\sqrt{T}\ln T}\right)+\mathcal{O}(T^{-1/2}\ln T)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU\ln T}{T^{2/3}}\right)=\\
&=s_5+s_6+s_7+s_8+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MU}{\sqrt{T}\ln T}\right)+\mathcal{O}(T^{-1/12}\ln T).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.2}$$

First of all

$$\sum_k\sum_l\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu}(s_5+s_6+s_7^{(m\neq n)}+s_8^{(m\neq n)})=\mathcal{O}(M^3T^{5/12}\ln^3 T)\tag{6.3}$$

by lemmas of type B and C from [3]. Next, (comp. [3], (94), (104))

$$s_{71}=\sum_k\sum_l s_7^{(m\neq n)}=\sum_{2\leq n<P_0}\frac{1}{n}G(M+1,\omega\ln n).\tag{6.4}$$

Since (see (2.5))

$$0<\frac{1}{2}\omega\ln n=\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{\ln n}{\ln P_0}<\frac{\pi}{4},$$

then

$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega\ln n\right)>A\omega\ln n,\tag{6.5}$$

and

$$s_{71}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\omega^2}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n\ln^2 n}\right)=\mathcal{O}(\ln^3 T).\tag{6.6}$$

Consequently, (see (3.17))

$$\sum_{\bar{t}_\nu}s_{71}=\mathcal{O}(U\ln T\ln^2 T)=\mathcal{O}(U\ln^3 T).\tag{6.7}$$

Next we obtain by similar way that

$$s_{81}=\sum_k\sum_l s_8^{(m=n)}=\sum_{2\leq n<P_0}\frac{1}{n}G\left(M+1,\omega\ln\frac{P_0^2}{n}\right).\tag{6.8}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega\ln\frac{P_0^2}{n}=\frac{\pi}{2\ln P_0^2}\ln\frac{P_0^2}{n},$$

then

$$\frac{\pi}{4} < \frac{1}{2}\omega \ln \frac{P_0^2}{n} < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and

$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega \ln \frac{P_0^2}{n}\right) > A > 0. \tag{6.9}$$

Hence

$$s_{81} = \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{2\le n<P_0} \frac{1}{n}\right) = \mathcal{O}(\ln T), \tag{6.10}$$

and consequently,

$$\sum_{\bar t_\nu} s_{81} = \mathcal{O}(U \ln^2 T). \tag{6.11}$$

Finally, we obtain (see (4.5), (6.2), (6.3), (6.7), (6.11)) in the case (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \mathcal{O}(M^3 T^{5/12} \ln^3 T) + \mathcal{O}(U \ln^3 T) + \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M^3 U^2}{\sqrt{T}}\right) + \mathcal{O}(M^2 U T^{-1/12} \ln^3 T) = \mathcal{O}(MU \ln^2 T). \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

7. Estimates of w_2, w_3

First of all, we have (see (4.2), (4.3), comp. (4.5))

$$\begin{aligned} &4\bar Z_k \cos\vartheta_k \cos(\vartheta_k - \vartheta_l) = \\ &= \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cos(\bar t_\nu \ln n) + \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cos(2\vartheta_k - \bar t_\nu \ln n) + \\ &+ \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cos(2\vartheta_l - \bar t_k \ln n) + \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cos(2\vartheta_k - 2\vartheta_l - \bar t_k \ln n) + \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(T^{-1/4}) = \\ &= w_{21} + w_{22} + w_{23} + w_{24} + \mathcal{O}(T^{-1/4}), \quad \bar t_k = \bar t_\nu + k\omega. \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Next, we have (see (2.2), (2.3), (3.17), (5.3) and [3], (118))

$$\begin{aligned} w_{221} &= \sum_{T\le \bar t_\nu \le T+U} w_{22} = \\ &= \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\bar t_\nu} \cos(\pi\nu + 2k\omega \ln P_0 - \bar t_k \ln n) + \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left\{U \ln T \cdot T^{1/4}\left(\frac{1}{T} + \frac{MU}{T \ln T}\right)\right\} = \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\bar t_\nu} \cos(\pi\nu - \bar t_\nu \ln n - k\omega \ln n) + \mathcal{O}(MU^2 T^{-1/4}) = \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\bar t_\nu} \cos(\pi\nu + \bar t_\nu \ln n + k\omega \ln n) + \mathcal{O}(MU^2 T^{-1/4}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

The following function corresponds to the $\bar t_\nu$-sum (comp. [6], pp. 99, 100)

$$\chi(\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\pi\nu + \bar t_\nu + k\omega \ln n),\ \bar t_\nu \in [T, T+U].$$

Consequently, (see (2.3), (2.4))

$$\chi'(\nu)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\ln n}{\ln\sqrt{\frac{t_\nu}{2\pi}}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\frac{\ln n}{\ln P_0}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{U}{T\ln T}\right),$$

$$\chi''(\nu)<0.$$

Hence

$$\chi'(\nu)\in\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon\right),\ \epsilon\in(0,1/4),$$

and (see (7.2), comp. [6], p. 100)

$$w_{221}=\mathcal{O}\left(\sum_n\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)+\mathcal{O}(MU^2T^{-3/4})=\mathcal{O}(T^{1/4}).$$

Similar estimates can be obtained also for

$$w_{211},w_{231},w_{241}.$$

Consequently, (see (4.5), (7.1))

$$w_2=\mathcal{O}(M^2T^{1/4}), \tag{7.3}$$

and similarly we obtain the estimate

$$w_3=\mathcal{O}(M^2T^{1/4}). \tag{7.4}$$

Finally, we obtain by (2.5), (4.5), (5.4), (6.12), (7.3) and (7.4) that

$$N=\mathcal{O}(MU\ln^2T),$$

i. e. the estimate (3.6) holds true.

I would like to thank Michal Demetrian for helping me with the electronic version of this work.

References

[1] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, 'The zeros of Riemann zeta-function on critical line', Math. Zs., 10 (1921), 283-317.

[2] J. Moser, 'On Gram's law in the theory of Riemann zeta-function', Acta Arith., 31 (1977), 107-113, (in Russian); arXiv:0901.1548.

[3] J. Moser, 'On a Hardy-Littlewood lemma in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function', Acta Math. Univ. Comen., 42-43, (1983), 7-26, (in Russian).

[4] J. Moser, 'Improvement of the theorem of Hardy-Littlewood on density of zeros of the function $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)$', Acta Math. Univ. Comen., 42-43, (1983), 41-50. (1976), 45-51, (in Russian).

[5] A. Selberg, 'On the zeros of Riemann's zeta-function', Skr. Norske Vid. Akad., No. 10, Oslo, (1942).

[6] E. C. Titchmarsh, 'On van der Corput's method and the zeta-function of Riemann, (IV)', Quart. J. Math. 5, (1934), 98-105.

[7] E. C. Titchmarsh, '*The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*', Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Mathematics, Comenius University, Mlynska Dolina M105, 842 48 Bratislava, SLOVAKIA

E-mail address: jan.mozer@fmph.uniba.sk